

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/625,721	07/24/2003	Michel Chevanne	Q76452	8118
23373 7590 05/08/2009 SUGHRUE MION, PLLC 2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W.			EXAMINER	
			DAILEY, THOMAS J	
SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20037		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2452	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/08/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of Panel Decision	Application/Control No.	Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination
from Pre-Appeal Brief	10/625,721	CHEVANNE ET AL.
Review		Art Unit
Review	Thomas J. Dailey	2452

This is in response to the Pre-Appeal	Brief Request for Review filed 9 March 2009.
 Improper Request – The F reason(s): 	Request is improper and a conference will not be held for the following
The request does not inc	not been filed concurrent with the Pre-Appeal Brief Request. lude reasons why a review is appropriate. s included with the Pre-Appeal Brief request.
	nse continues to run from the receipt date of the Notice of Appeal or from mmunication, if no Notice of Appeal has been received.
held. The application remains und is required to submit an appeal b brief will be reset to be one month running from the receipt of the no	nt Appeals and Interferences – A Pre-Appeal Brief conference has been be appeal because there is at least one actual issue for appeal. Applicant rief in accordance with 37 CFR 41.37. The time period for filing an appeal of from mailing this decision, or the balance of the two-month time period tice of appeal, whichever is greater. Further, the time period for filing of the 7 CFR 1.136 based upon the mail date of this decision or the receipt date able.
⊠ The panel has determin Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-29 and</u> Claim(s) withdrawn from con	ed the status of the claim(s) is as follows: 31-34. sideration:
Allowable application – A Allowance will be mailed. Prosec applicant at this time.	conference has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a Notice of ution on the merits remains closed. No further action is required by
4. ☐ Reopen Prosecution – A of action will be mailed. No further	conference has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a new Office action is required by applicant at this time.
All participants:	
(1) Thomas J. Dailey.	(3) Bunjob Jaroenchonwanit.
(2) Kenny Lin.	(4)
/Kenny S Lin/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2452	/Bunjob Jaroenchonwanit/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2456

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office Part of Paper No. 20090505